Norske Skog ASA - Climate Change 2022



C0. Introduction

C_{0.1}

(C0.1) Give a general description and introduction to your organization.

Norske Skog is a world leading producer of publication paper with strong market positions and customer relations in Europe and Australasia.

The Norske Skog Group operates four mills in Europe, of which two will produce recycled containerboard following planned conversion projects. In addition, the Group operates two publication paper mills and a pellets facility in Australasia. Norske Skog aims to further diversify its operations and continue its transformation into a growing and high margin business through a range of promising fibre projects. The Group has approximately 2,300 employees in four countries, is headquartered in Norway and listed on the Oslo Stock Exchange under the ticker NSKOG.

Our goal is to deliver sustainable products to our customers and good return for shareholders. To reach these objectives, the company has opted to be a low-cost producer, pursue sustainable growth and focus on its core business; newsprint and magazine paper.

All operations at Norske Skog are based on three core values: openness, honesty and cooperation. Its success builds on cooperation between different cultures and values.

C0.2

(C0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting	Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing emissions data
			years	for
Reporting	January 1	December 31	No	<not applicable=""></not>
year	2021	2021		

C0.3

(C0.3) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Australia

Austria

France Germany

Italy

New Zealand

Norway

Switzerland

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

C0.4

(C0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

NOK

C0.5

(C0.5) Select the option that describes the reporting boundary for which climate-related impacts on your business are being reported. Note that this option should align with your chosen approach for consolidating your GHG inventory.

Operational control

C-AC0.6/C-FB0.6/C-PF0.6

CDP Page 1 of 48

(C-AC0.6/C-FB0.6/C-PF0.6) Are emissions from agricultural/forestry, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or emissions from the consumption of your products – whether in your direct operations or in other parts of your value chain – relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

	Relevance		
Agriculture/Forestry Elsewhere in the value chain only [Agriculture/Forestry/processing/manufacturing/Distribution only]			
Processing/Manufacturing Both direct operations and elsewhere in the value chain [Processing/manufacturing/Distribution only]			
Distribution Elsewhere in the value chain only [Agriculture/Forestry/processing/manufacturing/Distribution only]			
Consumption	Elsewhere in the value chain only [Agriculture/Forestry/processing/manufacturing/Distribution only]		

C-AC0.6b/C-FB0.6b/C-PF0.6b

(C-AC0.6b/C-FB0.6b/C-PF0.6b) Why are emissions from agricultural/forestry activities undertaken on your own land not relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

Row 1

Primary reason

Do not own/manage land

Please explain

Norske Skog ASA do not own land/forest. We are a paper manufacturing company and source wood and related raw materials from suppliers. This is the reason why we do not have direct emissions from agricultural/ forestry activities.

C-AC0.6f/C-FB0.6f/C-PF0.6f

(C-AC0.6f/C-FB0.6f/C-PF0.6f) Why are emissions from distribution activities within your direct operations not relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

Row 1

Primary reason

Outside the direct operations of my organization

Please explain

We do not own or control the means of transport used to ship or distribute products upstream or downstream in our value chain. We source transport and distribution activities from third parties and the emissions linked to these activities are therefore outside the direct operations of our company.

C-AC0.7/C-FB0.7/C-PF0.7

(C-AC0.7/C-FB0.7/C-PF0.7) Which agricultural commodity(ies) that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue? Select up to five.

Agricultural commodity

Timber

% of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

60-80%

Produced or sourced

Sourced

Please explain

Timber is the main raw material in our print paper manufacturing. More than 80 % of our revenue in the past financial year was thus dependent on timber. To calculate this figure, we have considered all of our timer-based paper manufacturing and their associated revenue in the past financial year.

C0.8

(C0.8) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

Indicate whether you are able to provide a unique identifier for your organization	Provide your unique identifier
Yes, an ISIN code	NO0010861115

C1. Governance

C1.1

Yes

C1.1a

(C1.1a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Position of individual(s)	Please explain
	In Norske Skog ASA, the Board Chair is responsible for overseeing the company's climate-related issues in the board room. This includes defining Board Meeting agendas, and proposing, promoting and addressing strategic development and the sustainability related work of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors jointly make formal resolutions regarding strategic climate-related issues, but the Board Chair has the casting vote in the event of an equal number of votes for and against a proposal.
	An example of a climate-related decision made by the Board Chair in 2021 was to make CEO remuneration linked to ESG and climate-related performance a topic on the Board Meeting agenda. The Board of Directors unanimously approved the proposal.
Board-level committee	The Board of Directors are responsible for promoting and addressing climate-related issues, including goals, strategic development and investment decisions. The Board of Directors jointly make formal resolutions regarding such matters. Climate-related issues are addressed on an ongoing basis in addition to the annual risk assessment for the group.
	Climate related decision made by the Board of Directors in 2020 include (i) financing of a large-scale waste-to-energy plant at our mill in Bruck, Austria, which significantly reduces GHG emissions and gas exposure for the mill and the group as a whole, (ii) funding and completion of a takeover to Norway and public listing on Euronext Growth of formerly Australian based Circa Group Ltd (now Circa Group AS), a green chemistry company producing biobased chemicals replacing petroleum based chemicals, (iii) increased investments in process and heat recovery equipment/infrastructure at the Saugbrugs mill in Halden, Norway, (iv) the emission reduction target for 2030 and net Zero target for 2050, and (v) approval of the group's prioritisation of SDGs.

C1.1b

(C1.1b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of climate-related issues.

Frequency with which climate- related issues are a scheduled agenda item	Governance mechanisms into which climate-related issues are integrated	Scope of board- level oversight	Please explain
Scheduled – some meetings	Reviewing and guiding strategy Reviewing and guiding annual budgets Reviewing and guiding business plans Overseeing major capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestitures Monitoring and overseeing progress against goals and targets for addressing climate-related issues	e>	Climate-related issues are addressed by the Board of Directors on an ongoing basis, and development of environmental performance is part of all Board Meetings. Recurring and specific issues are scheduled as appropriate and needed in addition to being a scheduled agenda item in the annual risk assessment for the group. Review of the climate-related targets and KPIs are also an integral part of the annual business budget and strategy process and are also addressed related to major investment / divestment decisions. In 2021, some of the topics that were reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors were: (i) investment in Circa Group AS to support capital for construction of production plant for green chemicals to replace petroleum based chemicals, (ii) inclusion of a clearly stated KPI regarding the group's ESG performance in the CEO's annual performance (bonus) contract, (iii) large-scale investment in the conversion of a publication paper machine into recycled containerboard machine at the mill in Bruck, Austria, (iv) large-scale investment in the conversion of a publication paper machine into recycled containerboard machine at the mill in Golbey, France, and (v) large-scale investment in biomass plant (combined heat and power plant providing c. 200 GWh of green electricity and c. 700 GWh of green steam with c. 235 kt of waste wood collected) at the mill in Golbey, France.

C1.1d

(C1.1d) Does your organization have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues?

	Board member(s) have competence on climate- related issues		for no board-level competence on	Explain why your organization does not have at least one board member with competence on climate-related issues and any plans to address board-level competence in the future
F 1	ow Yes	Criteria used to assess the Board of Directors' climate-related competence include experience from executive management positions within Renewable Energy, Process Industry and Finance. Two new members were appointed to the Board of Directors this year, and these have significant experience and competence within the defined climate-related criteria and strengthen the composition of our Board of Directors in line with our strategic development plans.	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

C1.2

(C1.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)	Reporting line	, ,	_	Frequency of reporting to the board on climate-related issues
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)		Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<not applicable=""></not>	More frequently than quarterly
Chief Operating Officer (COO)		Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<not applicable=""></not>	More frequently than quarterly
Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)		Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<not applicable=""></not>	More frequently than quarterly

C1.2a

(C1.2a) Describe where in the organizational structure this/these position(s) and/or committees lie, what their associated responsibilities are, and how climate-related issues are monitored (do not include the names of individuals).

The CEO reports to the Board of Directors and is responsible for the overall business strategy which is to improve and optimize the publication paper cash flow business, become a leading producer of renewable packaging and diversify and innovate within fibere and energy. This means that the CEO carries the ultimate overall responsibility for low emission value creation in Norske Skog. The CEO is also responsible for assessing and managing climate related risks and opportunities, including monitoring of performance on climate related KPIs. One example of climate-related monitoring by the CEO is monthly review of the Norske Skog Environmental Index (E-Index) which is an internally defined KPI for measuring environmental performance based on 6 key climate related parameters critical to our operations.

The COO reports directly to the CEO. The COO is part of the Corporate Management Team and as such responsible for managing climate related issues related to operations across the Norske Skog group. The COO is also responsible for assessing and managing climate related risks and opportunities as well as overall responsibility for the sustainability work strategies, targets and monitoring of KPIs. One example of such climate related monitoring: The COO actively follows up on with the business unit management on the monthly E-index performance and related KPIs assessing recent developments.

The CSO (Title at Norske Skog is "Head of Sustainability") reports directly to the COO. The CSO is responsible for the development of climate related strategies and targets and support the implementation and follow up with the business units. The CSO is also responsible for monitoring and reporting of climate-related work for the group as a whole. One example is the development and implementation of climate-related supply chain engagement strategies for the group and in the business units as well as monitoring of monthly environmental mill performance.

C1.3

(C1.3) Do you provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues	Comment
Row 1	Yes	

C1.3a

(C1.3a) Provide further details on the incentives provided for the management of climate-related issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Entitled to incentive	Type of incentive	Activity incentivized	Comment
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	Monetary reward	Emissions reduction project	
		Emissions reduction target	
		Energy reduction project	
		Energy reduction target	
		Efficiency project	
		Efficiency target	
		Behavior change related indicator	
		Environmental criteria included in purchases	
		Supply chain engagement	
		Company performance against a climate-related sustainability index	

C2. Risks and opportunities

C2.1

(C2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities? Yes

(C2.1a) How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

	From (years)	To (years)	Comment
Short-term	1	5	Aligned with the annual strategy process and financial planning horizon.
Medium-term	5	10	Aligned with our emission reduction target (target year 2030).
Long-term	10	30	Aligned with our Net Zero Target (target year 2050).

C2.1b

(C2.1b) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

During the risk assessment process, Norske Skog corporate management has defined that a substantive financial income effect for the group will be 5 % of EBITDA, a substantive balance sheet effect would be 5% of the gross balance sheet. In 2021, the EBITDA was NOK 662 million; thus, a substantial effect for the group would be about NOK 30 million. At the end of 2021, the total balance sheet was NOK 9,125 million; thus a substantive amount would be about NOK 450 million. Norske Skog is performing a risk assessment for the entire group annually. All the reporting business units assess the main risk related issues concerning their individual operations. The corporate management review all these business unit evaluations and assess the main risk factors for the group collectively. The defined substantive effect of a balance sheet item i set to be 5% of total balance sheet. The risk assessment process is part of the annual budget process and strategy revision.

C2.2

(C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities.

Value chain stage(s) covered

Direct operations

Risk management process

Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

Frequency of assessment

More than once a year

Time horizon(s) covered

Short-term Medium-term Long-term

Description of process

Norske Skog's enterprise risk management processes are based on COSO's Enterprise Risk Management framework, and cover financial, operational, market and organisational risks. By this delineation of risk control, all sustainability areas covered by Norske Skog's Steering Guidelines, including climate-related risks and opportunities, are also covered by the group's enterprise risk management processes and is reported to the board of directors. This company-wide risk assessment process is integrated into multi-disciplinary management processes and contribute to clarity, responsibility and means that the group is well suited to manage climate-related risks and create value in a low carbon economy. Annually, the board, corporate and business unit management make an extensive and systematic climate-related risk and opportunity evaluation. In addition, environmental and climate related issues are reported monthly and is part of the agenda on the monthly business review meetings between the corporate management and business unit meetings. Below is a description of the processes applied to identify, assess and respond to such risks.

IDENTIFICATION: Identification of climate-related risk and opportunities are done both in bottom-up and top down processes. The BOTTOM-UP process is based on the management teams in each business unit annually performing a risk- and opportunity analysis using the framework of Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). The teams are made up by senior subject matter experts in different functional areas with local knowledge on topics with strategic importance to the mills. At each mill, the managing director is the main responsible for environmental issues. Each mill also has a responsible manager for environmental and climate related issues. Each mill has regular contact with national permit agencies and immediately reports any irregularities and deviations from the permits. Some mills have partnerships, memberships or collaboration with external environmental and certification NGOs, professional national trade organisations and Forest Owner Association that support the identification of topics with strategic and financial importance. The local management team identify different types of climate related risks and opportunities, including policy, physical, technology and market related and assess the probability that each of these will affect our mill within a short (1-5 years), medium (5-10 years) and long-term (10-30 years) perspective, as defined in C2.1a. The management teams in each business unit report the summary to the company's risk management function and represents the bottom up process for identification of climate related risks. TOP-DOWN: At group level, the corporate management team is also responsible for identifying climate-related risks identified in the bottom-up process.

ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE: Climate-related risk and opportunities identified in the bottom-up and top-down process are reported to the groups corporate risk function which in turn consolidates and assesses the related quantitative impact for the group. This provides the basis for the agenda of the corporate management meetings and adequate follow up measures based on the threshold for financial and strategic impact, measured as EBIDTA effect, as described in C2.1b. Risks and opportunities with lower impact are monitored and managed by local management teams whereas salient risks are reported to the Board. The business risks and opportunities are discussed and considered in defining the business plans. Capitalizing on identified opportunities typically require investment decisions in operations and R&D. In an annual risk review process for the entire group, the board set ambitious targets, especially for environmental and climate-related issues and reviews the long-term climate-related targets as an integral part of the business budget and strategy process.

CASE STUDY Regulatory Risk. SITUATION; Energy constitute on average about 15-20% of the mill gate cash cost for the group, but it varies somewhat between the mills depending on local conditions. The high energy prices seen during 2021 and into 2022 in Europe presents a risk. In addition, Norske Skog is subject to many regulatory requirements relating to climate change, including the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS), which include CO2 compensation scheme, and CO2-allowances. Because the development of both systems are closely linked with political response to limit climate change this risk is identified and monitored in our risk-assessment process. Our mill in Bruck (Austria) was particularly exposed to these risks due to the dependency on gas in production processes and local CO2 regulations. TASK: Due to the financial impact that energy prices and CO2 regulations have on our business unit in Bruck, and on the group as a whole, the associated risks has to be assessed. ACTION: The risk and opportunity linked to volatile energy prices and increased costs of CO2 has been identified and assessed in both bottom-up and top-down processes described above. The assessment showed that the financial effects from these CO2 schemes are substantive and more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA. As a result, risk mitigation actions was reported and evaluated by the corporate management team and the board. The RESULT: It was decided to invest in an on-site renewable-energy plant based on waste material from the Bruck mill vicinity in order to reduce the exposure to volatile gas prices and to cut emissions from fossil energy sources. The board approved the resolution. The Norske Skog Bruck mill will reduce direct CO2-emission by 75-80%, which is around 150-180 000 tonnes of CO2, due to reduction in natural gas consumption substituted by energy based on waste residue material. In addition this plant will supply the local community with renewable energy and be a source of income for the mill.

C2.2a

		Please explain	
	& inclusion		
Current regulation	Relevant, always included	Relevance and inclusion of risk: Norske Skog is subject to many regulatory requirements relating to climate change, including the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS), which include CO2 compensation scheme, and CO2-allowances. Due to the financial impact such regulations have on our business we monitor associated risks closely.	
	included	Risk Example: Financial effects from these schemes are substantive and more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA and as a result closely monitored as part of our climate related risk assessment. The EU-ETS is under revision and the financial effect from ETS and the not yet implemented Carbon Boarder Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) may pose a substantive financial effects.	
		Norske Skog holds an pro-active membership in the Norwegian Federation of Trade and Industry and the pan-European pulp and paper association, CEPI, to monitor and influence the regulatory work both in EU and the respective national government where Norske Skog operates. Regulatory challenges and changes will be included and evaluated in the annual risk assessment and budget processes. The financial effect of removal of the entire ETS will be substantial and constitute about NOK 200-250 per tonne publication paper.	
		The direct effect of the EU-ETS carbon pricing mechanisms and the volatile energy market led to the EUR 75 million investments in a waste to energy plant at Bruck in Austria. The Norske Skog Bruck mill will reduce direct CO2-emission by 75-80%, which is around 150-180 000 tonnes of CO2, due to reduction in natural gas consumption substituted by energy based on waste residue material.	
Emerging regulation	Relevant, always included	Relevance and inclusion of risk: We continually monitor and assess proposed and incoming regulatory change as part of our climate related risk assessment process. One example is the The EU Taxonomy which the EU is planning to implement for relevant industrial sectors within the EU.	
		Risk Example: Because the specific requirements and guidelines is still in the making it is still unclear to what extent our business will be affected. We are following the development closely and are planning to implement measures to reduce potential significant financial risks. Our ongoing risk assessment indicate that if the EU taxonomy is implemented for the pulp and paper industry, it will in the long term affect the pricing and tariffs put on the finished goods depending on the product carbon footprint. When fully implemented The EU taxonomy will have substantial effect on how production processes, especially raw material, energy and transport, are handled. If implemented in the pulp and paper sector, the EU taxonomy may have neutral to positive effects for Norske Skog.	
Technology	Relevant, always	Relevance and inclusion of risk: Our ability to adapt and develop low emission technology at competitive cost structure will impact our ability to reach our climate ambitions.	
	included	Risk Example: Norske Skog has set ambitious target for reducing CO2-emission by 55% within 2030 and 100% by 2050 compared with 2015 levels. The risk of not reaching these targets will be (1) a result of the level of investments by Norske Skog, (2) the available technology to reduce energy consumption and implement adequate technological production processes and (3) the ability of European energy producers to deliver clean energy.	
		To mitigate these risks and meet our climate ambitions we have implemented a set of measures. We have defined a company strategy to abstain from using direct fossil based energy sources within our production facilities. At the same time we are making substantial progress to substitute fossil fuel based fuel and electricity from the grid and alter means of transportation from fossil fuel based vehicles to electricity based railway. Norske Skog will after implementing a complete set of fossil free measures at each respective mill be dependent on Energy Attribute Certificate (EACs, such as Guarantees of Origin) to fully reach the net zero target. This is dependent upon the energy mix in Europe. In addition, Norske Skog participates in two different carbon capture usage and storage (CCS/CCU) projects in Norway in collaboration with external parties to remove biogenic CO2. The progress of the CCU/CCS technology development is partly dependent on the authorities' willingness to fund these type of projects. Norske Skog is working through different political channels, national industry associations and environmental NGOs to influence the government to finance and support CCU/CCS technology based projects.	
Relevant, always included Relevant government body. Failure to comply with such obligations presents key risk to our business.			
		Risk Example: Norske Skog has strict and written internal corporate control routines for reporting breach in permits and implementation of corrective actions. Material changes in permits may constitute substantial financial effects if it triggers new investments. Different climate-related regulatory requirements across peer countries may constitute a competitive disadvantage to our business. This will have a long term effect on financial operating results but may also have significant effect on the investment attractiveness of specific countries.	
Market	Relevant, always included	Relevance and inclusion of risk: Changing consumer behavior and preferences towards climate friendly products is a key market risk that is always included in our risk assessment. Our ability to mitigate this risk depends on our ability to turn this risk into a market opportunity and calls for innovation and change management.	
		Risk Example: As consumers increasingly demand new and alternative low-carbon products Norske Skog is investing in new fiber-based product development. Norske Skog is committed to deliver climate-friendly and renewable bio based products. Market research reflect an increased demand for packaging made from renewable sources that supports the circular economy. Norske Skog's main strategy is to convert existing publication paper machines into renewable and recyclable packaging paper machines, produce bio based energy and develop new bio material products. Norske Skog has launched new bio products the last year: (1) CEBINA: a nanocellulose product being used as an ingredient to epoxy and paint, (2) CEBICO: a biocomposite substituting typical fossil based products like plastic and (3) Stabinor: ash from bio fuel production substituting cement based products.	
		Norske Skog has spent considerable amount to develop for example CEBICO, which is a bio composite. Together with partners, Norske Skog has invested more than NOK 150 million in research and development and a pilot plant. New technology has made it possible to mix pulp and fossil based product into a new bio composite. The biocomposite product has a lower the CO2-footprint than comparable products. A related risk of this product development main risk is that new product developments, new regulation like the EU SUP-directive (single-use-plastic directive) will alter the commercial opportunities. These changes do not constitute substantive negative effects to Norske Skog because there will be alternative commercial opportunities for the bio composite raw material.	
Reputation	Relevant, always included	Relevance and inclusion of risk: Our goal is to obtain a reputation as a sustainable company with recyclable products based on renewable raw material and energy. Norske Skog has for decades systematically aimed to be perceived as a leader in environmental stewardship. The group strategy is to deliver climate-friendly products based on renewable sources of raw material and energy. Failure to live up to this goal, and not meet the expectations from different stakeholder groups may damage to our reputation, trust and brand.	
		The most relevant risk factors of not being viewed as a sustainable company may be (1) emissions from production processes beyond allowed permits, (2) new expectations on climate action in our value chain or (3) social media campaigns based on misperception or misinterpretations of our main messages. Norske Skog aims to comply with current expectations from customers, investors, local community and other stakeholders. In our external communication we aim to convey an honest, cooperative and open dialogue with our stakeholders to avoid any perception among our stakeholders of green washing. Norske Skog has a market and communication team ready to alleviate any misperceptions of the company and any misinterpretations of message from the company.	
		Risk Example: Norske Skog has certified processes and documented steering guidelines for our business approach and specific external certification for purchase of bio-materials (FSC/PEFC), production processes (ISO standards) and finished product specifications (CEPI Paper Profile) in accordance with global and national standards. The risk of non-compliance may affect our reputation severely and may as worst-case scenario result in loss of customers and investor interest. Norske Skog evaluate our reputational standing annually during the risk assessment process and through an on-going dialogue with customers and investors and more sporadic with other stakeholder groups. Norske Skog has a market and communication team ready to alleviate any misperceptions of the company and any misinterpretations of message from the company.	
Acute physical	Relevant, always	Relevance and inclusion of risk: Acute and extreme weather events may pose challenges to our operations and assets in locations that that are located in high risk areas.	
priyolodi	included	Risk Example: Norske Skog has operations in five countries in 2021. One of our mills in Norway is exposed to flood if the nearby river. Certain flood protection has been organized. But in extreme cases, the mill may have to halt production due to part of the plant will be under water. The most critical part, in a worst case scenario, is that the waste water treatment plant will be under water, which may result in a leakage of unfiltered process water into the local river.	
Chronic Relevant, physical Relevant always included			
		Risk Example: The opportunity to harvest wood from the forest may be more cumbersome as cold and long winters simplifies the transportation of timber from wetland areas. Warmer climate will disrupt this opportunity or shorten the time when transport on frozen land is possible. These kind of disruptions will affect harvesting methods and means of transportation from the forest to available infrastructure and may also affect the availability and price of timber. At the same time, elevated mean temperatures and dryer climate, especially in Australasia may lead to more frequent forest fires that also impacts the supply and price of timber.	

C2.3

(C2.3) Have you identified any inherent climate-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Yes

C2.3a

(C2.3a) Provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Identifier

Risk 1

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?

Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Current regulation

Carbon pricing mechanisms

Primary potential financial impact

Increased indirect (operating) costs

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification

<Not Applicable>

Company-specific description

Norske Skog Bruck (Austria) consumes about 0.7 TWh of fossil fuel to produce energy for publication paper production; hence, Bruck is subject to the current EU-ETS regulation and has a net CO2 quota cost of energy amounting to NOK 70-80 million. This financial impact is more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA (C2.1b). To mitigate the risk it was decided to invest in a waste to energy plant. This has been under construction during 2020 and 2021, and will be commissioned in 2022. The main goals are (1) to reduce the CO2 emissions and thus the climate footprint substantially, (2) to reduce dependency of imported gas and (3) achieve lower and more predictable cost of energy. Bruck's operation in Austria is within the EU-ETS (energy trading system) and holds a permit for climate gas emissions from the Austrian Environment Agency. In addition to emitting about 200,000 tonnes of CO2 (2021), the mill also receives free allowances of 90,000 tonnes/CO2 for the period 2021-2025. Norske Skog has, based on EU policy, invested in alternative energy sources to diminish the use of fossil fuel based energy sources. The waste to energy plant will with its technology and source of energy replace fossil based energy sources with climate friendly energy sources. Also the European energy market is transforming from fossil based to renewable energy sources like solar, wind and hydro electric power. The entire European energy system will then be dependent on weather conditions to produce energy and thus be exposed to more volatile energy supply and consequently greater price fluctuations than under a fossil based energy system. The risk of changes in climate will make the entire energy supply system more volatile and unpredictable. Norske Skog has relieved this climate risk by constructing a long term, climate friendly waste-to-energy plant based on bio materials and waste from household. A removal or changes in the EU-ETS will hamper economic incentives to reduce CO2, and thus reduce the financial benefit of implementing energy eff

Time horizon

Long-term

Likelihood

Virtually certain

Magnitude of impact

High

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, a single figure estimate

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

93000000

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure

The EU-ETS provides free allowances for the entire EU and EEA. Norske Skog Bruck receives free allowances, and because the mill uses/has used fossil based fuel, the mill must also purchase CO2-quotas in order to operate. The investment in the energy plant will eliminate the need to purchase CO2-quotas. From 2023 onward, the mill will only have biogenic CO2-emissions and will thus from the time the waste to energy plant is fully operative not be subject to purchase CO2-quotas according to the EU-ETS scheme. The reduction of CO2 from this investment is between 150-200,000 tonnes CO2e / year. With the average price of CO2e in 2021 at 60EUR/ 620 NOK the potential financial impact figure is estimated at 150,000 t CO2e / year * 620 NOK = 93'000 NOK/ year. This is above the threshold described in C2.1b and has a substantive financial impact on the group EBITDA.

Cost of response to risk

720000000

Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

CASE STUDY: Financial effects from these EU Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) schemes are substantive and represent more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA. As a result they are closely monitored as part of our climate related risk assessment. In addition the EU-ETS is under revision and the financial effect from ETS and our risk assessment show that the not yet implemented Carbon Boarder Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) may pose a substantive financial effects.

RISK RESPONSE ACTIVITIES: Norske Skog is engaged in two main risk response activities in order to mitigate identified risks: (A) Norske Skog holds an pro-active membership in Industry Associations such as the Norwegian Federation of Trade and Industry and the pan-European pulp and paper association, CEPI, to monitor and influence the regulatory work both in EU and the respective national government where Norske Skog operates. (B) Norske Skog Bruck in Austria invested NOK 720 million in CAPEX for the on-site waste to energy plant in order to mitigate the identified risks related to operating cost. This investment will reduce our exposure to fossil based electricity costs, and thus reduce our emissions from fossil-energy sources (liquefied natural gas). The final investment decision was made in 2019 and was part of the Norske Skog long term strategy to (1) use climate friendly energy sources at all our mills, (2) secure energy supply at predictable prices and (3) reach the 2030 target of

55% reduction in group emissions from 2015 to 2030, and be climate neutral within 2050.

Explanation of cost calculation: The total planned investment is NOK 720 million. The investment includes three main cost elements: (1) construction of new storage for waste material (fuel) amounting to about NOK 200 million, (2) turn key delivery of a new Bubbling Fluidized-Bed Boiler, including civil work amounting to about NOK 420 million, and (3) integration to existing mill infrastructure including engineering and project management amounting to about NOK 100 million (NOK 200 million + NOK 420 million + NOK 100 million = NOK 720 million).

Comment

The board decision is aligned with the company SDG-targets (sustainable development goals). Norske Skog has followed the procedures described in the TCFD (task force on climate-related financial disclosure) framework, as described in our annual report.

C2.4

(C2.4) Have you identified any climate-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

C2.4a

(C2.4a) Provide details of opportunities identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

Identifier

Opp1

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Direct operations

Opportunity type

Energy source

Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Use of lower-emission sources of energy

Primary potential financial impact

Reduced indirect (operating) costs

Company-specific description

The pulp and paper industry presents an energy-intensive sector, which accounted for approximately 6% of global industrial energy consumption in 2017 (International Energy Agency (IEA) 2020a). Energy constitute on average about 15-20% of the mill gate cash cost. Norske Skog Bruck (Austria) consumes about 0.7 TWh of fossil fuel to produce energy for publication paper production; hence, Bruck is subject to the current EU-ETS regulation. Norske Skog Bruck (Austria) emitted about 200,000 tonnes of CO2e annually in 2021, and received free allowances of 90,000 tonnes CO2e for this period. For the period 2021-2025 Bruck will receive similar size of free quotas. To cover the gap in emitted emissions and free quotas Norske Skog Bruck purchased 120,000 tonnes of emission quotas in 2021 and has a net CO2 quota cost of energy amounting to NOK 70-80 million. This presents an opportunity to evaluate own generation of low emission sources of energy to cover our consumption needs and reduce exposure to price volatility in the energy market and market for CO2 quotas.

Norske Skog has capitalized on this opportunity by constructing a long term, climate friendly energy plant based on bio materials and waste from household. The waste to energy plant will, with its technology and source of energy, replace fossil based energy sources with climate friendly energy sources. Also the European energy market is transforming from fossil based to renewable energy sources like solar, wind and hydro electric power. The entire European energy system will then be dependent on weather conditions to produce energy and thus be exposed to more volatile energy supply and consequently greater price fluctuations than under a fossil based energy system. The risk of changes in climate will make the entire energy supply system more volatile and unpredictable.

A removal or changes in the EU-ETS will hamper with economic incentives to reduce CO2, and thus reduce the financial benefit of implementing energy efficiency and climate friendly energy sources; hence this will constitute not only a business risk but also a climate risk of not reaching the 2050 EU target of a climate neutral industry. To have a well-functioning CO2 quota market is a prerequisite to eliminate the climate risk. Norske Skog is working actively through European and national trade organisations to create incentives for the development of a green industry in Europe and Australia.

Time horizon

Long-term

Likelihood

Virtually certain

Magnitude of impact

High

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, a single figure estimate

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

93000000

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure

The EU-ETS provides free allowances for the entire EU and EEA. Norske Skog Bruck receives free allowances, and because the mill uses/has used fossil based fuel, the mill must also purchase CO2-quotas in order to operate. The investment in the energy plant will eliminate the need to purchase CO2-quotas. From 2023 onward, the mill will only have biogenic CO2-emissions and will thus from the time of starting up the waste to energy plant not be subject to purchase CO2-quotas according to the EU-ETS scheme. The reduction of CO2 is between 150-200,000 tonnes CO2e / year. With the average price of CO2e in 2021 at 60EUR/ 620 NOK the potential financial impact

figure is estimated at 150,000 t CO2e / year * 620 NOK = 93'000 NOK/ year. This is above the threshold described in C2.1b and has a substantive financial impact on the group EBITDA.

Cost to realize opportunity

720000000

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

Financial effects from these EU Emissions Trading Scheme (EU ETS) schemes are substantive and more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA and as a result closely monitored as part of our climate related risk and opportunity assessment. In addition the EU-ETS is under revision and the financial effect from ETS and our risk assessment show that the not yet implemented Carbon Boarder Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) may pose a substantive financial effects.

Norske Skog has engaged in two main activities in order to capitalize on this opportunity: (A) Norske Skog holds an pro-active membership in Industry Associations such as the Norwegian Federation of Trade and Industry and the pan-European pulp and paper association, CEPI, to monitor and influence the regulatory work both in EU and the respective national government where Norske Skog operates. (B) Norske Skog Bruck in Austria invested NOK 720 million in CAPEX for the on-site waste to energy plant in order to capitalize on this opportunity. This investment will reduce our exposure to fossil based electricity costs, and thus reduce our emissions from fossil-energy sources (liquefied natural gas). The final investment decision was made in 2019 and was part of the Norske Skog long term strategy to (1) use climate friendly energy sources at all our mills, (2) secure energy supply at predictable prices and (3) reach the 2030 target of 55% reduction in group emissions from 2015 to 2030, and be climate neutral within 2050.

Explanation of cost calculation: The total planned investment is NOK 720 million. The investment includes three main cost elements: (1) construction of new storage for waste material (fuel) amounting to about NOK 200 million, (2) turn key delivery of a new Bubbling Fluidized-Bed Boiler, including civil work amounting to about NOK 420 million, and (3) integration to existing mill infrastructure including engineering and project management amounting to about NOK 100 million.

Comment

The board decision is aligned with the company SDG-targets (sustainable development goals). Norske Skog has followed the procedures described in the TCFD (task force on climate-related financial disclosure) framework, as described in our annual report.

Identifier

Opp2

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Upstream

Opportunity type

Resource efficiency

Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Use of more efficient modes of transport

Primary potential financial impact

Reduced indirect (operating) costs

Company-specific description

Raw materials like wood and wood chips for publication paper production, have been transported by fossil fuel based trucks. Norske Skog aims to reduce the scope 3 carbon footprint and transfer as much purchased wood and wood chips from trucks to railway as possible. The use of electric railway as means of transportation will substantially reduce the emission from transportation of inbound materials and offer an opportunity to reduce Scope 3 emissions.

Norske Skog has invested in train carriages and has also led a national project to build a new timber terminal located close to the timber harvesting area at Hauerseter (Norway). This terminal will serve the entire wood processing industry in Norway and be an important cost effective measure to keep cost of materials and transportation low. Norske Skog has a climate strategy to transport all long distance transport on non-fossil fuel based means of transportation. So far only one mill has reached this target. The company strategy is to reach the same scope 3 goal for every group mill.

Time horizon

Medium-term

Likelihood

Very likely

Magnitude of impact

Medium-high

Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, a single figure estimate

Potential financial impact figure (currency)

10000000

Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Potential financial impact figure – maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

Explanation of financial impact figure

In building a new railway terminal for handling of timber, Norske Skog will save about NOK 50 per m3. Norske Skog will transport about 50% of the purchased timber from this terminal. Today, timber is transported through Sweden (a de-tour) at an added cost of NOK 50 per m3, and the cost of transporting timber on trucks is NOK 50 per m3 more expensive than railway. This opportunity investment has both a positive climate effect and reduce the cost of transportation. Norske Skog will handle about 200,000 m3 through this terminal, offering a potential savings potential of NOK 10 million. (Total amount of wood transported 200,000 m3 x 50 NOK savings /m3 = 10,000,000 NOK total financial impact figure)

Cost to realize opportunity

2500000

Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

Improved location for timber terminal reducing the transportation cost from the forest fields to the production site. The cost for Norske Skog and the entire vale chain is about NOK 2-3 million. The investment in the timber terminal will be granted, built, owned and served by the Norwegian government rail way company, BaneNOR. The opening of the terminal is planned for 2027. Norske Skog took the initiative to build a terminal at this relevant location and has been active in pushing for this investment

with local authorities and business partners. The alternatives to this terminal is to use other more expensive terminal, resulting in longer distance to transport the timber from harvesting area to the production site, which will give higher costs per tonne m3. To act on this opportunity, Norske Skog has therefore been pro-active in defining, planning and establishing this terminal.

Explanation of cost calculation: This will be a public terminal for handling wood. Norske Skog together with the pulp and paper industry initiated and presented the results of a preliminary project in which the total investment costs are projected. Norske Skog's share of the total investment will be about NOK 2.5 million, where about NOK 1 million will be technical project consultants, NOK 1 million will be machinery and equipment to handle the timber and NOK 0.5 million in IT- systems (1 million NOK + 0,5 Million NOK)

Comment

In Norway, infrastructure like rail terminals are for the most part owned and run by BaneNOR, which is a government agency, specifically offers train companies a safe and efficient transport system. BaneNOR plans, expands and maintains the railway, stations and terminals throughout Norway.

C3. Business Strategy

C3.1

(C3.1) Does your organization's strategy include a transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world?

Dow 1

Transition plan

Yes, we have a transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

Publicly available transition plan

Yes

Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your transition plan

We have a different feedback mechanism in place

Description of feedback mechanism

The board of director has adopted the transition plan, which has sections of financial targets as well as specific environmental and climate action targets. This plan is part of the annual report, which is presented on the annual general meeting but the shareholders do not vote or adopt the plan. The formal procedures on the Annual General Meeting (AGM) require that the shareholders adopt the financial statements and the board of the directors report, which to some extend state the most vital part of the forthcoming business strategy and climate targets.

Frequency of feedback collection

Annually

Attach any relevant documents which detail your transition plan (optional)

(1) Verification letter CDP; (2) The annual report of Norske Skog ASA for 2021 Norske Skog_annual report_2021_ORIG.pdf

Verification_letter_CDP.pdf

Explain why your organization does not have a transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world and any plans to develop one in the future <Not Applicable>

Explain why climate-related risks and opportunities have not influenced your strategy

<Not Applicable>

C3.2

(C3.2) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy?

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Explain why your organization does not use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy and any plans to use it in the future
Row 1	Yes, qualitative and quantitative	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

C3.2a

Climate-related scenario	Scenario analysis coverage	Temperature alignment of scenario	Parameters, assumptions, analytical choices
Transition Bespoke scenarios transition scenario	Company- wide	1.6°C – 2°C	Norske Skog's targets for CO2 emissions with baseline 2015: 1) A 55% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2030, and 2) a zero CO2 emission target by 2050 The ambitions are in line with the target set by the EU. The ambitious targets will be fulfilled through the newly established strategy converting newsprint into packaging and innovation of low carbon footprint products based on recycled materials and fresh fiber resources, and renewable energy sources. Under each relevant SDG section, a set of realistic targets and sub-goals have been defined.
			Assumptions: The group and the mills have set E-index targets for 2021 for the parameters included in the E-index, as well as the results achieved during the last five years. The EU Commission finalized the BAT conclusions under the Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75EU in September 2014. Norske Skog has since 2015 used revised BAT levels in the environmental index. In 2020, through an extensive involvement and anchoring process throughout the entire organisation, new GHG-emission targets were set along with new SDG targets and planned activities.
			Analytical background: Each mill management regularly reviews the climate-related, physical, legal, technological and market risks and opportunities, which will create the basis for the overall long-term business plan and strategy for the corporate management and the board. If the global political vision of limiting global warming to 1.5C is not achieved, this may have a negative effect on water and energy supply. (A) Water availability: our mills are dependent on water availability and would be severely affected by water restriction plans, although climate change could potentially increase the water supply for some mills. (B) Sufficient energy supply: the phasing out of fossil and nuclear energy sources may without any alternative energy substitution and with a general increase in public energy consumption cause an increase in demand and thus a general increase in energy prices. That could threaten the profitability of the business. (C) Business risk: Norske Skog has adopted a strategy and production process to develop existing and new products in congruence with the vision of a maximum 1.5C temperature increase.
			Norske Skog is committed to the EU GHG targets of carbon neutrality by 2050 with an intermediate target of a 55% reduction in GHG emissions by 2030 based on 2015 figures.
Physical climate physical scenarios scenario	Company-wide	3.1ºC - 4ºC	The availability of sustainable and affordable biomass for production of publication paper in Norway and Australia will be affected by longer-term shifts in climate patterns. According to a study by a Norwegian national research institute NIBIO, there is a substantial growth of forest in Norway. The increased growth of wood is caused by the national program for afforestation and management, longer growing seasons (climate), increased quality and overgrowth of the cultural landscape. These factors will make larger quantity of available wood closer to Norske Skog's mill. This will in the long run become an economic advantage for the mill because the need for long distance transportation of wood will diminish. Norske Skog's targets for CO2 emissions with baseline 2015:
			1) A 55% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2030, and 2) a zero CO2 emission target by 2050. The ambitions are in line with the target set by the EU. The ambitious targets will be fulfilled through the newly established strategy converting newsprint into packaging and innovation of low carbon footprint products based on recycled materials and fresh fiber resources, and renewable energy sources. Under each relevant SDG section, a set of realistic targets and sub-goals are defined.
			Analytical background: Each mill management regularly reviews the climate-related, physical, legal, technological and market risks and opportunities, which will create the basis for the overall long-term business plan and strategy for the corporate management and the board. If the global political vision of limiting global warming to 1.5C is not achieved, this may have a negative effect on water and energy supply. (A) Water availability: our mills are dependent on water availability and would be severely affected by water restriction plans, although climate change could potentially increase the water supply for some mills. (B) Sufficient energy supply: the phasing out of fossil and nuclear energy sources may without any alternative energy substitution and with a general increase in public energy consumption cause an increase in demand and thus a general increase in energy prices. That could threaten the profitability of the business. (C) Business risk: Norske Skog has adopted a strategy and production process to develop existing and new products in congruence with the vision of a maximum 1.5C temperature increase.

C3.2b

CDP Page 12 of 48

(C3.2b) Provide details of the focal questions your organization seeks to address by using climate-related scenario analysis, and summarize the results with respect to these questions.

Row 1

Focal questions

In 2021, the group reviewed the business climate risks and opportunities and used these findings to define ambitions, set targets for the prioritized SDGs and relate these to the already determined strategic choices of the group. The purpose of the assignment was to align the corporate strategy with a selection of the most relevant of the 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals. In the process, the corporate management and key employees were involved in prioritizing, and setting new ambitions and specific targets for each of the 17 UN SDGs after an extensive evaluation of climate-related risks. In the materiality analysis, a set of factors related to energy, raw material, emissions, water and legal issues were given specific consideration in terms of their potential financial and operational impact on the business. As part of this process we evaluated the impact that climate change may have on our business. We conducted a high level assessment of actions required to keep global warming well below 2 degrees. The purpose of this assessment was to align the corporate strategy with climate actions required by the group in the medium (2030) and long term (2050) and define high level climate action targets covering the same time horizon. The following focal questions were assessed:

- 1. How could emerging climate change regulation plausibly affect our company, what should we do in order to reduce related regulatory risks in the medium (2030) and long term (2050)?
- 2. How could climate change affect consumer behavior and what should we do in order to reduce market risks in the medium (2030) and long term (2050)?

Results of the climate-related scenario analysis with respect to the focal questions

Focal Question 1. How could emerging climate change regulation plausibly affect our company, what should we do in order to reduce related regulatory risks and related financial impact in the medium (2030) and long term (2050)? RESULTS: To limit the global warming to well below 2 degrees we assume that policy makers will continue to use carbon pricing mechanisms in the medium and long term. Norske Skog is subject to many regulatory requirements relating to climate change, including the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS), which include CO2 compensation scheme, and CO2-allowances. Due to the financial impact such regulations have on our European business we monitor associated risks closely. Financial effects from these schemes are substantive and represent more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA of the Norske Skog Group in 2021, and are as a result closely monitored. The EU-ETS is under revision and the financial effect from ETS and the not yet implemented Carbon Boarder Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) may pose a substantive financial effects. As a result, we have defined ambitious set targets to use sustainable energy sources, which will reduce the dependency of fossil energy sources. Norske Skog as already substantially invested and will invest in biomass-boilers to replace fossil energy sources at two European mills in France and Austria. In addition this has directly affect the business strategy where the group will participate in CCS and CCU activities in Norway at Norske Skog Skogn and Norske Skog Saugbrugs. The evaluation focused on the 2030 perspective, as 2050 is too long term to make relevant assumptions.

Focal Question 2: How could climate change affect consumer behavior and what should we do in order to reduce market risks in the medium (2030) and long term (2050)? RESULTS: Changing consumer behavior and preferences towards climate friendly products is expected to continue and calls for innovation of low carbon products. Market research reflect an increased demand for packaging made from renewable sources that supports the circular economy. As result Norske Skog's main strategy is to convert existing publication paper machines into renewable and recyclable packaging paper machines, produce bio based energy and develop new bio material products. In addition, Norske Skog is investing in low emission product development. We have launched new bio products the last year: (1) CEBINA: a nanocellulose product being used as an ingredient to epoxy and paint, (2) CEBICO: a bio composite substituting typical fossil based products like plastic and (3) Stabinor: ash from bio fuel production substituting cement based products. Today, the revenues derived from fossil resource-substitution activities and the low-carbon economy represent about 10% of the total revenue. In 2030, the group revenues such activities is assumed to constitute about 50%. 2050 is too long term to make relevant assumptions.

C3.3

	Have climate-related risks and opportunities influenced your strategy in this area?	Description of influence
Products and services	Yes	Energy has become a new strategic leg. Before the climate-related transition plan, Norske Skog was a pure publication paper company. With change in climate-related goals, change in consumer patterns and customer demand, Norske Skog has expanded and diversified its business strategy from publication paper to three more legs: 1) packaging paper, 2) sustainable energy and 3) bio materials. All strategic legs are aligned with the environmental and climate action targets.
		An example is: The change from fossil based fuel to bio mass and bio residual based boiler fuel combustion generators have resulted in new external markets for the the Bruck and Golbey mills. Surplus energy will be transmitted and sold as energy to household.
Supply chain and/or	Yes	Norske Skog has increased attention from its customer to use certified wood and wood chips as raw material. Norske Skog uses 100% certified wood in Europe, which encompasses both FSC and PEFC certifications. Norske Skog has been active in establishing a FSC branch in Norway, and also in the revision of the PEFC certification.
value		Norske Skog has systems and processes to make sure that all wood used in Norske Skog's products comes from sustainably managed forests. All Norske Skog mills utilizing fresh fiber have third-party verified Chain of Custody (CoC) certification systems in place.
		Forestry and use of forest products play an important role in the combat of climate change. For the forest value chain to be a part of the climate change solution, the forests must be managed sustainably. Norske Skog has systems and processes to make sure that all wood used in Norske Skog's products comes from sustainably managed forests. All Norske Skog mills utilising fresh fiber have third-party verified Chain of Custody (CoC) certification systems in place. Our goal is to have 100% certified wood in our products including operations outside Europe.
		The main global forest challenges are related to deforestation in developing countries and forest biodiversity degradation through the logging of high-conservation areas in many parts of the world. In order to meet these challenges, we need to ensure that more of the world's forest areas are managed on a sustainable basis. Forest certification is an important tool in this context.
Investment in R&D	Yes	The goal is to develop new sustainable products and production processes.
III NOD		All mills participate in projects to find alternative or additional methods of reusing the by-products from the production processes. Our products come with an environmental product declaration for paper (Paper Profile) which guide the paper buyer according to environmental performance on standardized environmental parameters. All of Norske Skog's business units are certified in accordance with ISO 9001 and 14001.
		Norske Skog actively works to realize value from the industrial sites by developing existing infrastructure and industry competence.
		The group is also engaged in developing nanofibrils for strengthening paper products, enhancing paint and glue, developing 3D composites and additives in nutritional products. Following significant marketing efforts and customer testing in 2021, CEBINA is now sold and delivered to customers in Norway and -inter-nationally. CEBINA is a natural fiber product developed at Norske Skog Saugbrugs, which adds rheology control in fluids and armouring in solid materials.
		Norske Skog has built a 300 tonnes capacity pilot plant for fiber composites at the Saugbrugs mill in Norway, which Innovation Norway has granted NOK 15 million. In early 2022, Norske Skog Saugbrugs, together with its research and industry partners, have been granted NOK 60 million in research funding from the Research Council of Norway and Innovation Norway under the Green Platform Programme. The portfolio of products to be developed aims to remove or greatly reduce the use of petroleum-based raw materials and harmful materials, as well as to contribute to increased recycling of plastics.
Operations	Yes	Norske Skog makes great efforts to ensure that the wastewater treatment meet the highest standards. In 2020 and 2021, the operations in Australasia had an increase in discharges of organic substances (COD) and Suspended Solids (SS). This is due to the closure of two mills with very beneficial environmental profiles, leading to increased KPI values for the region.
		Our climate related targets within the production process activities are: (A) Ensure sustainable use of materials and energy in our operations, which includes (1) to achieve efficient use of biprocess streams in the production process to create bio based-energy or biproducts for sale, and (2) to utilize bi-products from the entire production process.
		(B) Operate mills with high energy efficiency, which include (1) to measure the level of CAPEX used on energy efficiency/energy-source improvements; (2) to establish specific activities and investments in energy efficiency and changes in energy source, i.e. activities from the CAPEX-lists and the continuous improvement programs.
		(C) Reduce Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) to recipient, which include (1) to install anaerobic wastewater treatment and biogas at all European mills (75% installed) within 2030; (2) to invest in anaerobic waste water treatment and biogas production at all European mills.
		(D) Reduce emissions of Sulphur Dioxide (SO2) and Nitrogen Oxide (NOX) from our operations, which means (1) to ensure compliance with emission permits and regulations; (2) to perform mill activities related to SO2 and NOX improvements.
		(E) Reduce waste from our operations, which relates to (1) deliver no ash to landfill in 2030; (2) establish procedures and/or ash product development.
		(F) Ensure sustainable sourcing of raw material, which implies (1) to achieve 100% certification of all wood used for our products. (2) Review internal control routines to measure and reach the certification target.
		(G) Ensure responsible supplier value chain handling, which result in (1) to ensure supplier adherence to Norske Skog code of conduct within 2023; (2) to mature sustainable sourcing practices by updating code of conduct, questionnaire for suppliers on ESG-topics and routines for audit of suppliers.

C3.4

(C3.4) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your financial planning.

Financial planning elements that have been influence

Description of influence

Row Capital

1 expenditures

- The new green transformation strategy is:
- Improve and optimise publication paper cash flows Become a leading producer of renewable packaging
- Diversify and innovate within energy and bio products

This strategy will require Norske Skog to build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization, foster innovation and develop company competence.

Norske Skog plans to become a leading European producer of recycled containerboard by converting two newsprint machines, one at Norske Skog Bruck and one at Norske Skog Golbey. The conversions will introduce 760 000 tonnes of competitive containerboard capacity to meet the growing demand for renewable packaging. The Skogn mill produces Skogn inter-liner on one of its three newsprint machines to serve the Asian packaging markets. The financial implications of these investments require a total investment of NOK 3500 million, being financed through the bond market, bank market, government grants and retained cash flows from operations.

Following the conversions, both mills will have access to renewable energy and will have reduced their carbon footprints to become among the best performers in the industry. The instalment of a 50 MV renewable waste-to-energy boiler in Austria is scheduled for start-up in the first half of 2022. Norske Skog also plans to participate in constructing bio mass boiler at Golbey in France, which will deliver 0,7 Twh heat to the Golbey mill and 0.2 Twh to the national grid in France. Both projects will require substantial financing either directly through Norske Skog (Bruck) or indirectly through joint venture (Golbey). The total investments for these projects are NOK 750 million for the Austrian Bruck investment and NOK 1800 million at Golbey in France, in which Norske Skog has a 10% partnership in a joint venture.

Norske Skog is the largest shareholder in Circa Group, which has been granted EUR 9.2 million from the EU Flagship Grant for the production of a first-of-its-kind 1 000 tonnes biochemicals plant in France. The NOK 575 million proceeds from a private placement at the Euronext Growth market in Oslo, will be used to fund the construction of the ReSolute plant in France, further market development, and development of new products. Norske Skog Australasia has worked closely with Circa since 2015 at its Boyer Mill in Tasmania, providing significant industrial and process competence to enable the scalability of Circa's unique and patented Furacell technology.

Norske Skog spends substantive amount to develop new products within nanofibrils and bio composites, which are expected to be new revenue streams for the company. Following significant marketing efforts and further customer testing in 2021, CEBINA, which is a nanofibril bio product, is now sold and delivered to customers in Norway and internationally. CEBINA is a natural fibre product developed at Norske Skog Saugbrugs, which adds rheology control in fluids and armouring in solid materials.

The continued development of CEBICO (bio composites) progressed well during the year. The installation of a NOK 25 million extruder or pilot-plant at Norske Skog Saugbrugs, enabling a significant increase in the ability and quality of testing with potential customers, was installed and is now effectively producing bio-composites. Innovation Norway has granted NOK 15 million to a pilot bio-composites plant.

Through the partnerships with Ocean GeoLoop at Norske Skog Skogn and Borg CO2 at Norske Skog Saugbrugs, Norske Skog aims to pursue the opportunity to become CO2 net negative, and to explore economically viable models for utilisation of biogenic CO2.

C3.5

(C3.5) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's transition to a 1.5°C world? Yes

C3.5a

(C3.5a) Quantify the percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's transition to a 1.5°C world.

Financial Metric

CAPEX

Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned with a 1.5°C world in the reporting year (%)

50

Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align with a 1.5°C world in 2025 (%)

50

Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align with a 1.5°C world in 2030 (%)

50

Describe the methodology used to identify spending/revenue that is aligned with a 1.5°C world

Rational for the 50% alignment to the 1.5C target:

Norske Skog Bruck (Austria) consumes about 0.7 Twh of fossil fuel to produce energy for publication paper production; hence, Bruck is subject to the current EU-ETS regulation and has a net CO2 quota cost of energy amounting to NOK 70-80 million. A waste to energy plant has been under construction during 2020 and 2021, and will be commissioned in 2022. The main goals are (1) to reduce the CO2 emissions and thus the climate footprint substantially, (2) to reduce dependency of imported gas and (3) achieve lower and more predictable cost of energy. Bruck's operation in Austria is within the EU-ETS (energy trading system) and holds a permit for climate gas emissions from the Austrian Environment Agency. In addition to be charged for about 200,000 tonnes of CO2, the mill also receives free allowances of 100,000 tonnes/CO2 for the period 2021-2025. Norske Skog has, based on EU policy, invested in alternative energy sources to diminish the use of fossil fuel based energy sources and to reach the 1.5C degree target by 2030. 50% of the investment relates to the 1.5C target; whereas, 50% relates to the economic benefit of switching energy supply from a volatile fossil based market to a bio residual sourcing.

An analysis of the market conditions:

The waste to energy plant will with its technology and source of energy replace fossil based energy sources with climate friendly energy sources. Also the European energy market is transforming from fossil based to renewable energy sources like solar, wind and hydro electric power. The entire European energy system will then be dependent on weather conditions to produce energy and thus be exposed to more volatile energy supply and consequently greater price fluctuations than under a fossil based energy system. The risk of changes in climate will make the entire energy supply system more volatile and unpredictable. Norske Skog has relieved this climate risk by constructing a long term, climate friendly energy plant based on bio materials and waste from household. A removal or changes in the EU-ETS will hamper with economic incentives to reduce CO2, and thus reduce the financial benefit of implementing energy efficiency and climate friendly energy sources; hence this will constitute not only a business risk but also a climate risk of not reaching the 2050 EU target of a climate neutral industry. To have a well-functioning CO2 quota market is a prerequisite to eliminate the climate risk. Norske Skog is working actively through European and national trade organisations to create incentives for the development of a green industry in Europe and Australia.

C4. Targets and performance

C4.1

(C4.1) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Intensity target

C4.1b

(C4.1b) Provide details of your emissions intensity target(s) and progress made against those target(s).

Target reference number

Int 1

Year target was set

2020

Target coverage

Company-wide

Scope(s)

Scope 1

Scope 2

Scope 3

Scope 2 accounting method

Location-based

Scope 3 category(ies)

Category 1: Purchased goods and services

Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

Intensity metric

Metric tons CO2e per metric ton of product

Base year

2015

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

200

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

366

Intensity figure in base year for Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

135

Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

701

% of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

% of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

% of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories) covered by this Scope 3 intensity figure

100

% of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

Target year

2030

Targeted reduction from base year (%)

55

Intensity figure in target year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) [auto-calculated]

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

40

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions

40

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

326

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

113

Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 3 (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

26

Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

165

% of target achieved relative to base year [auto-calculated]

Target status in reporting year

Underway

Is this a science-based target?

No, but we anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

Target ambition

<Not Applicable>

Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The target coverage is company wide . No exclusion.

Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

We plan to achieve this target by reducing our dependency on fossil fuels in our direct and indirect operations while continuing to strengthen our mills production capacity and profitability. We have already made good progress on our target to date, by shutting down onsite energy boilers that run on fossile fuels and investing in new ones that run on renewable sources. The rate of progress towards the target is anticipated and/or observed to change from year to year (variable).

List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

<Not Applicable>

C4.2

(C4.2) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Net-zero target(s)

C4.2c

(C4.2c) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Target reference number

NZ1

Target coverage

Company-wide

Absolute/intensity emission target(s) linked to this net-zero target

Int1

Target year for achieving net zero

2050

Is this a science-based target?

No, but we anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The target covers all of our operations as per reporting boundary disclosed in C0.5 (Scope 1 & 2) in addition to specific Scope 3 categories as defined by the CEPI Ten Toes Framework for calculating Product environmental footprint for paper and forest products.

Do you intend to neutralize any unabated emissions with permanent carbon removals at the target year?

۷۵٥

Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at target year

Norske Skog is already investing in carbon dioxide removal and storage technologies. Through our partnership with Ocean GeoLoop at Norske Skog Skogn Mill and Borg CO2 at Norske Skog Saugbrugs Mill, Norske Skog aims to pursue the opportunity to neutralize CO2, and to explore economically viable models for utilization of biogenic CO2.

Planned actions to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain (optional)

C4.3

(C4.3) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Yes

C4.3a

(C4.3a) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	2	630000
To be implemented*	1	260000
Implementation commenced*	2	150000
Implemented*	2	1200
Not to be implemented	0	0

C4.3b

(C4.3b) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes Machine/equipment replacement

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1200

Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

30000000

Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

104000000

Payback period

4-10 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

6-10 years

Comment

Installation of new heat exchange with the aim of increasing the temperature and hence the steam and as a result shutting down an oil boiler.

C4.3c

(C4.3c) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Method	Comment
Compliance with regulatory	We continually monitor and assess current and proposed and regulatory change. Such as the EU ETS and CBAM. This may trigger investments in low emission technologies
requirements/standards	for production processes or investments in new product development.

C4.5

(C4.5) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Yes

C4.5a

(C4.5a) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Level of aggregation

Product or service

Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

Type of product(s) or service(s)

Pulp and paper Other, please specify (Publication paper)

Description of product(s) or service(s)

Norske Skog is investing in low emission product development. We have launched new bio products the last year: (1) CEBINA: a nanocellulose product being used as an ingredient to epoxy and paint, (2) CEBICO: a biocomposite substituting typical fossil based products like plastic and (3) Stabinor: ash from bio fuel production substituting cement based products. Today, the revenues derived from fossil resource-substitution activities and the low-carbon economy represent about 10% of the total revenue. In 2030, the group revenues such activities is assumed to constitute about 50%. 2050 is too long term to make relevant assumptions.

Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Nο

Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

<Not Applicable>

Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

<Not Applicable>

Functional unit used

<Not Applicable>

Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

<Not Applicable>

Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

<Not Applicable>

Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

<Not Applicable>

Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

<Not Applicable>

Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

10

C5. Emissions methodology

C5.1

(C5.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Yes

C5.2

(C5.2) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

Base year start

January 1 2015

Base year end

December 31 2015

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

474946

Comment

Base year emission reduction target.

Scope 2 (location-based)

Base year start

January 1 2015

Base year end

December 31 2015

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

865236

Comment

Base year emission reduction target.

Scope 2 (market-based)

Base year start

January 1 2015

Base year end

January 1 2015

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

865236

Comment

The location-based result has been used as a proxy since a market-based figure cannot be calculated.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

Base year start

January 1 2015

Base year end

December 31 2015

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

248245

Comment

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

Base year start

January 1 2015

Base year end

December 1 2015

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60306

Comment

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

Base year start

Base year end

Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

Comment

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 14: Franchises Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3 category 15: Investments Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 3: Other (upstream) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment

Scope 3: Other (downstream) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment C5.3 (C5.3) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. European Union Emission Trading System (EU ETS): The Monitoring and Reporting Regulation (MMR) – General guidance for installations The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition) Other, please specify ("CEPI ten toes" for Product Environmental Foootprint of paper products.) C6. Emissions data C6.1 (C6.1) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? Reporting year Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) 427000

C6.2

Start date <Not Applicable>

End date <Not Applicable>

Comment

(C6.2) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

Row 1

Scope 2, location-based

We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

Scope 2, market-based

We have operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors, but are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure

Comment

We are planning to report a Scope 2, market-based figure for the reporting covering 2022.

C6.3

(C6.3) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

Scope 2, location-based

218000

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable)

<Not Applicable>

Start date

<Not Applicable>

End date

<Not Applicable>

Comment

C6.4

(C6.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Nο

C6.5

(C6.5) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

195000

Emissions calculation methodology

Hybrid method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

Please explain

We collect data from suppliers related to forest and recycling operations as well as non-wood based raw materials (direct procurement). We do not yet account for emission linked with indirect sourcing of goods and services. We are planning to introduce this in the next two years. We applied the Greenhouse Gas Protocol method for Scope 3 accounting.

Capital goods

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Upstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status

Relevant, calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

49500

Emissions calculation methodology

Distance-based method

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

Please explain

We collect data from transportation partners related to volume of load and disatance travelled. We have applied the Greenhouse Gas Protocol method for Scope 3 accounting.

Waste generated in operations

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Business travel

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Employee commuting

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Upstream leased assets

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

All transport and distribution costs are reported in Scope 3 category "upstream transportation and distribution" (C6.5).

Downstream transportation and distribution

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Processing of sold products

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Use of sold products

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

End of life treatment of sold products

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are working on completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Downstream leased assets

Evaluation status

Relevant, not yet calculated

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We are completing our Scope 3 inventory and are planning to report this in the next two years.

Franchises

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We do not engage in franchise activities.

Investments

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We do not engage in investment activities.

Other (upstream)

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We do not engage in other upstream activities.

Other (downstream)

Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

<Not Applicable>

Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

We do not engage in other downstream activities.

C-AC6.8/C-FB6.8/C-PF6.8

(C-AC6.8/C-FB6.8/C-PF6.8) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

No

C-AC6.9/C-FB6.9/C-PF6.9

(C-AC6.9/C-FB6.9/C-PF6.9) Do you collect or calculate greenhouse gas emissions for each commodity reported as significant to your business in C-AC0.7/FB0.7/PF0.7?

Agricultural commodities

Timber

Do you collect or calculate GHG emissions for this commodity?

Yes

Please explain

We collect and calculate greenhouse gas emissions (biogenic carbon) for timber sourced for our global production processes. The reported figure include wood and bark residues only. This is part of pour Scope 3 inventory (Supply Chain Emissions) and is calculated applying the methodology of the GHG Protocol.

C-AC6.9a/C-FB6.9a/C-PF6.9a

(C-AC6.9a/C-FB6.9a) Report your greenhouse gas emissions figure(s) for your disclosing commodity(ies), explain your methodology, and include any exclusions.

Timber

Reporting emissions by

Total

Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

483000

Denominator: unit of production

<Not Applicable>

Change from last reporting year

This is our first year of measurement

Please explain

We collect and calculate greenhouse gas emissions (biogenic carbon) for timber sourced for our global production processes. The reported figure include wood and bark residues only. This is part of pour Scope 3 inventory (Supply Chain Emissions) and is calculated applying the methodology of the GHG Protocol.

C6.10

(C6.10) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Intensity figure

0.0000625

Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

645000

Metric denominator

unit total revenue

Metric denominator: Unit total

10315000000

Scope 2 figure used

Location-based

% change from previous year

0.5

Direction of change

Decreased

Reason for change

Our GHG emissions intensity (total gross Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions per unit revenue) did not change much from 2020 to 2021. The reason why we only saw a slight decrease of 0,5 % during this period is because we did not realize any major emission reduction initiatives during this period, as reported in C4.3.b. Our gross Scope 1 & 2 emissions emissions increased slightly during this period, from 604 000 t CO2 in 2020 to 645000 t CO2 in 2021, mainly due to increased production volume. At the same time our total revenue increased in similar proportions. Leading to only a slight change in intensity figure.

C7. Emissions breakdowns

C7.1

(C7.1) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Yes

C7.1a

(C7.1a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used greenhouse warming potential (GWP).

Greenhouse gas	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	GWP Reference
CO2	422000	IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)
CH4	19000	IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)
N2O	3000	IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

C7.2

(C7.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Europe	228000
Australasia	198000

C7.3

(C7.3) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By facility

C7.3b

(C7.3b) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Facility	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Latitude	Longitude
Norske Skog Bruck, Austria	196000	47.417956	15.27818
Norske Skog Golbey, France	28200	48.207966	6.42469
Norske Skog Skogn, Norway	2900	63.711839	11.16283
Norske Skog Saugbrugs, Norway	1100	59.125256	11.39685
Norske Skog Boyer, Australia	197600	-42.780133	147.10262
Norske Skog Tasman, New Zealand	100	-38.073518	176.72005

C-AC7.4/C-FB7.4/C-PF7.4

(C-AC7.4/C-FB7.4/C-PF7.4) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?

Yes

C-AC7.4b/C-FB7.4b/C-PF7.4b

(C-AC7.4b/C-FB7.4b/C-PF7.4b) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.

Activity

Processing/Manufacturing

Emissions category

<Not Applicable>

Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

426000

Methodology

Default emissions factor

Please explain

For the manufacturing of publication paper, direct emissions from stationary fuel consumption as well as transportation is accounted for. We used default emissions factors as inputs to calculate our total CO2e inventory from Scope 1.

C7.5

(C7.5) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	
Europe	114000		
Australasia	104000		

C7.6

(C7.6) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By facility

(C7.6b) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Facility	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	
Norske Skog Bruck, Austria	28000		
Norske Skog Golbey, France	68000		
Norske Skog Skogn, Norway	10600		
Norske Skog Saugbrugs, Norway	7500		
Norske Skog Boyer, Australia	86000		
Norske Skog Tasman, New Zealand	18000		

C7.9

(C7.9) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? Increased

C7.9a

(C7.9a) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

	Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)		Emissions value (percentage)	Please explain calculation
Change in renewable energy consumption	0	No change	0	We did not change our consumption of renewable sources during the reporting year, which is why there is 0 % change from this activity (change in emissions from this category / emissions in previous year = 0 / 604000 = 0 %)
Other emissions reduction activities	1200	Decreased	0.1	The effect from 'other emissions reduction activities' implemented during the year was 1200 t CO2e. Our gross scope 1 and 2 emissions in in the previous year was 604000 tCO2e. This represents a -0,2 % decrease in emissions due to these emission reduction activities (Calculation: -1200/60400e -0,2%)
Divestment		<not Applicable ></not 		
Acquisitions		<not Applicable ></not 		
Mergers		<not Applicable ></not 		
Change in output		<not Applicable ></not 		
Change in methodology		<not Applicable ></not 		
Change in boundary		<not Applicable ></not 		
Change in physical operating conditions	41200	Increased	7	Between 2020 to 2021 we saw an increase in total Scope 1 and 2 emissions related to physical operating conditions of 41200 t CO2e. This increase is related to the relationship between production volumes and energy efficiency of our mills. This resulted in 41200 t of CO2e total increase is assigned to this factor. (Calculation: 41200/604000 = 6,8%)
Unidentified		<not Applicable ></not 		
Other		<not Applicable ></not 		

C7.9b

(C7.9b) Are your emissions performance calculations in C7.9 and C7.9a based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Location-based

C8. Energy

(C8.1) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

More than 15% but less than or equal to 20%

C8.2

(C8.2) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Yes

C8.2a

 $(C8.2a) \ Report\ your\ organization's\ energy\ consumption\ totals\ (excluding\ feeds tocks)\ in\ MWh.$

	Heating value	MWh from renewable sources	MWh from non-renewable sources	Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)	LHV (lower heating value)	1206000	1740000	2946000
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<not applicable=""></not>	3958000	170000	4128000
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy	<not applicable=""></not>	59000	<not applicable=""></not>	59000
Total energy consumption	<not applicable=""></not>	5223000	1910000	7133000

C8.2b

(C8.2b) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	No

C8.2c

(C8.2c) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1206000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

Λ

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

1206000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

Biomass boilers supplied with waste wood and wood residues from internal production processes, generate steam for internal production.

Other biomass

Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

Λ

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

-

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

No other biomass fuels consumed.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam $\ ^{\circ}$

U

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

No other renewable fuels consumed:

Coal

Heating value

LHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

661000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

Λ

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

Λ

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

661000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

Energy boiler supplied with coal that generates steam for internal production (99 % of consumption is covered by mill in Boyer (Australia)).

Oil

Heating value

LHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

6000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

6000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

U

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

Oil used to restart bioenergy boilers after shut down due to maintenance. This is required to achieve required temperature levels .

Gas

Heating value

LHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1073000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity 1073000

10/3000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

U

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling <Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration
<Not Applicable>

Comment

Gas used to supply gas turbine with fuel to generate electricity (Process used at Norske Skog Bruck (Austria). The gas consumption will drop significantly when the new waste to energy plant becomes fully operative in 2023.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

Heating value

Unable to confirm heating value

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

Λ

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

n

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

No other non-renewable fuels consumed.

Total fuel

Heating value

LHV

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2946000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

1073000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

1873000

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

Comment

In 2021 we consumed 2946000 MWh of fuel.

C8.2d

(C8.2d) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

		Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)	_	Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)
Electricity	1132000	1132000	60000	60000
Heat	0	0	0	0
Steam	1873000	1873000	1206000	1206000
Cooling	0	0	0	0

C8.2g

(C8.2g) Provide a breakdown of your non-fuel energy consumption by country. Country/area Norway Consumption of electricity (MWh) 2179000 Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 1472000 Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable> Country/area France Consumption of electricity (MWh) 904000 Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 864000 Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable> Country/area Austria Consumption of electricity (MWh) 403000 Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 447000 Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable> Country/area Australia Consumption of electricity (MWh) 716000 Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) 583000 Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable> Country/area New Zealand Consumption of electricity (MWh) 164000 Consumption of heat, steam, and cooling (MWh) Total non-fuel energy consumption (MWh) [Auto-calculated] Is this consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment? <Not Applicable> C9. Additional metrics C9.1

C10. Verification

(C9.1) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

C10.1

(C10.1) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	No third-party verification or assurance

C10.1a

(C10.1a) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

Status in the current reporting year

Complete

Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

Attach the statement

Verification_letter_CDP.pdf

Page/ section reference

Page 1 and 2

Relevant standard

ISAE3000

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

C10.1b

(C10.1b) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Scope 2 approach

Scope 2 location-based

Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

Status in the current reporting year

Complete

Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

Attach the statement

Verification_letter_CDP.pdf

Page/ section reference

Page 1 and 2

Relevant standard

ISAE3000

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

C10.2

(C10.2) Do you verify any climate-related information reported in your CDP disclosure other than the emissions figures reported in C6.1, C6.3, and C6.5?

C10.2a

(C10.2a) Which data points within your CDP disclosure have been verified, and which verification standards were used?

Disclosure module verification relates to	Data verified	Verification standard	Please explain
C6. Emissions data	Energy consumption	ISAE 3000	Biogenic Carbon is not part of Scope 1 and 2 emissions. This is reported separately, but is verified. See attachment.
			Verification_letter_CDP.pdf

C11. Carbon pricing

C11.1

(C11.1) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Yes

C11.1a

(C11.1a) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impacts your operations.

EU ETS

C11.1b

(C11.1b) Complete the following table for each of the emissions trading schemes you are regulated by.

EU ETS

% of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

53

% of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

Period start date

January 1 2021

Period end date

December 31 2022

Allowances allocated

448607

Allowances purchased

120000

Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

228135

Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

Details of ownership

Facilities we own and operate

Comment

During 2021 the Norske Skog mill in Bruck Austria purchased 120k emission quotas. The need to purchase quotas will be close to eliminated by 2023 as a result of the waste to energy plant that will supply this mill will renewable energy.

C11.1d

(C11.1d) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Norske Skog is subject to many regulatory requirements relating to climate change, including the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS), which include CO2 compensation scheme, and CO2-allowances. Due to the financial impact such regulations have on our business we monitor associated risks closely. Norske Skog's strategy is to comply with any regulatory requirements and systems, now and in the future.

Financial effects from these schemes are substantive and more than 5% of the annual average EBITDA and as a result closely monitored as part of our climate related risk assessment. The EU-ETS is under revision and the financial effect from ETS and the not yet implemented Carbon Boarder Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) may pose a substantive financial effects.

Norske Skog holds an pro-active membership in the Norwegian Federation of Trade and Industry and the pan-European pulp and paper association, CEPI, to monitor and influence the regulatory work both in EU and the respective national government where Norske Skog operates. Regulatory challenges and changes will be included and evaluated in the annual risk assessment and budget processes. The financial effect of removal of the entire ETS will be substantial and constitute about NOK 200-250 per tonne publication paper.

Results of Actions: The direct effect of the EU-ETS carbon pricing mechanisms and the volatile energy market led to the EUR 75 million investments in a waste to energy plant at Bruck in Austria. The Norske Skog Bruck mill will reduce direct CO2-emission by 75-80%, which is around 150-180 000 tonnes of CO2, due to reduction in natural gas consumption substituted by energy based on waste residue material.

Timescale: This action was implemented in 2021 when the construction of the plant started. The impact of this action will start in 2022 and fully operational in 2023.

C11.2

(C11.2) Has your organization originated or purchased any project-based carbon credits within the reporting period?

C11.3

(C11.3) Does your organization use an internal price on carbon?

C11.3a

(C11.3a) Provide details of how your organization uses an internal price on carbon.

Objective for implementing an internal carbon price

Change internal behavior Drive low-carbon investment

GHG Scope

Scope 1

Application

Norske Skog is subject to the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS), which include CO2 compensation scheme, and CO2-allowances. Due to the financial impact such regulations have on our business we monitor the development of CO2 prices closely. The carbon price is applied in the following business processes: 1) Annual Budgeting and monthly financial reports 2) evaluation of investment decisions, and 3) New product development. The carbon price is always used in these processes as long as the activity considered is subject to the EU ETS. In budgeting processes we apply the average price on CO2 based on the most recent year. Monthly financial reporting is updated with actual CO2 prices (price on the last day of the month). The CO2 prices are applied to the European Business Units subject to the EU ETS scheme.

Actual price(s) used (Currency /metric ton)

526

Variance of price(s) used

We apply actual CO2 prices in our decision making processes. This is also known as evolutionary carbon pricing (a price that develops over time). The processes where this is applied is; 1) budgeting processes and in monthly financial reporting 2) evaluation of investment decisions, and 3) New product development. 1) In budgeting processes we apply the average price on CO2 based on the most recent year. During 2021 the average price used was 526 NOK / tonne CO2 (minimum price was 327 NOK / tonne CO2e and maximum price was 897 NOK/ tonne CO2.). Monthly financial reporting is updated with actual CO2 prices (price on the last day of the month). 2) Evaluation of investment decisions and 3) new product development uses the average price average price on CO2 based on the most recent year.

Type of internal carbon price

Shadow price

Impact & implication

Example of how we have used Internal Price on carbon in investment decisions: The Norske Skog Bruck mill in Austria is subject to the current EU-ETS regulation. The mill receives free allowances covering 50 % of its emissions (2021). The remaining CO2 emissions have to be covered by purchased quotas that had a net cost of NOK 70-80 million in 2021. The direct effect of the EU-ETS carbon pricing mechanisms and the volatile energy market led to the EUR 75 million investments in a waste to energy plant at this mill. The internal carbon pricing represented a key element in the final investment decision that was made in Q2 2019. At this time the carbon price used was 289 NOK/ tonne CO2. The Norske Skog Bruck mill will reduce direct CO2-emission by 75-80%, which is around 150-180 000 tonnes of CO2, due to reduction in natural gas consumption substituted by energy based on waste residue material. Starting from 2023, when this plant is fully operational, Norske Skog Bruck will not need to purchase any CO2 allowances.

C12. Engagement

C12.1

(C12.1) Do you engage with your value chain on climate-related issues?

Yes, our suppliers

Yes, our customers/clients

C12.1a

(C12.1a) Provide details of your climate-related supplier engagement strategy.

Type of engagement

Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

Details of engagement

Directly work with suppliers on exploring corporate renewable energy sourcing mechanisms

% of suppliers by number

50

% total procurement spend (direct and indirect)

20

% of supplier-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

8

Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

Norske Skog uses around 2-2.5 million tonnes of recovered paper, wood and wood chips at the European mills. As such transport represents a significant spend factor, and 20 % of our total Scope 3 inventory (2021). It is of strategic importance to reduce related costs and Scope 3 emissions. Furthermore, the implementation of the green deal in European industry and the fulfillment of emission obligations in the Glasgow agreement will require that our inbound and outbound transport take place with emission-free transport solutions by 2050.

Up until now, raw materials like wood and wood chips for publication paper production, have been transported by fossil fuel based trucks. Norske Skog aims to reduce the scope 3 carbon footprint and transfer as much purchased wood and wood chips from trucks to railway as possible. The use of electric railway as means of transportation will substantially reduce the emission in the inbound materials. ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES: Norske Skog has invested in train carriages. Norske Skog has also led a national project to build a new timber terminal located close to the timber harvesting area in Norway by cooperating with local authorities and business partners. This terminal in Hauerseter, Norway, will be operative from 2027 and will serve the entire wood processing industry in Norway and be an important cost effective measure to keep cost of materials and transportation down. The Rational for switching from truck as mean of transportation for wood and wood chips to railway is that it will reduce the total CO2 emission in our value chain and improve the cost position for all parties involved. The suppliers represented in this example represent approximately 8 % of the groups gross Scope 3 emissions (2021).

50% of our current suppliers can deliver timber to railway terminals and is therefore covered by the scope of this engagement activity. Our strategy is to have more suppliers deliver timber directly to railway terminals.

Impact of engagement, including measures of success

We are a producer of publication paper within the pulp and paper sector. Norske Skog is dependent on sourcing timber from locations within a radius of 400 km from the production sites. Norske Skog has invested in train carriages to transport wood and wood chips to our mill in Halden, Norway. All long distance transport of wood is now transported by rail way. This change has raised positive media attention, reduction in CO2 emissions and reduction in transportation and handling costs.

In building a new rail way terminal for handling of timber, Norske Skog will save about NOK 50 per m3. Norske Skog will transport about 50% of the purchased timber from this terminal. Today, timber is transported through Sweden (a de-tour) at an added cost of NOK 50 per m3, and the cost of transporting timber on trucks is NOK 50 per m3 more expensive than railway. This opportunity investment has both a positive climate effect and reduce the cost of transportation. Norske Skog will handle about 200,000 m3 through this terminal, giving a savings potential of NOK 10 million.

Measure of Success: Success will be measured by achievement of the target to have all long distance transport on non-fossil fuel based means of transportation by 2050. When the terminal in Hauerseter becomes operative in 2027, this will cut our gross Scope 3 emissions from transport with an estimated 20 %. Our aim is to achieve zero emissions from long distance transport for all mills by 2050 (Threshold)

Comment

Raw materials like wood and wood chips for publication paper production, have been transported by fossil fuel based trucks. Norske Skog aims to reduce the scope 3 carbon footprint and transfer as much purchased wood and wood chips from trucks to railway. The use of electric railway as means of transportation will substantially reduce the emission in the inbound materials. Norske Skog has invested in train carriages. Norske Skog has also led a national project to build a new timber terminal located close to the timber harvesting area. This terminal will serve the entire wood processing industry in Norway and be an important cost effective measure to keep cost of materials and transportation low. Norske Skog has a climate strategy to transport all long distance transport on non-fossil fuel based means of transportation. So far only one mill has reached this target. The company strategy is to reach the same scope 3 goal for every group mill.

C12.1b

(C12.1b) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with your customers.

Type of engagement & Details of engagement

Education/information sharing	Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes (i.e. Energy STAR)
-------------------------------	---

% of customers by number

95

% of customer - related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

Λ

Please explain the rationale for selecting this group of customers and scope of engagement

There has been request from customers, representing approximately 95 % of Norske Skog's customers, to use FSC and PEFC certified wood in the production of paper. Along with the forest-based industry in Norway, Norske Skog initiated and supported the process of reviewing and establishing the Norwegian controlled wood FSC-system and PEFC system. During a three year period, there was a process to establish a Norwegian based FSC-system and PEFC system. The process included collaboration between the industry, environmental groups, ethnic minorities, representatives for forest owners, academia, and other NGOs, The FSC has established a branch in Norway with a separate board for surveillance of the Norwegian FSC standard. PEFC has already existed in Norway for a while.

The implication of not implementing the FSC standard and/or PEFC standard may have resulted in loss of customers. The customers did not pay any premium on the paper produced on FSC certified wood. The implementation of the FSC standard was successful, due to the fact that all parties involved accepted the negotiated FSC-terms. Also the customers requiring FSC based paper products were pleased with the outcome.

Impact of engagement, including measures of success

We are a producer of publication paper within the pulp and paper sector. Norske Skog is dependent on sourcing timber from locations with the certifications that our customers demand. We are committed to supplying FSC/ PEFC certified wood to meet customer satisfaction.

The implication of not implementing the FSC / PEFC standard may have resulted in loss of customers. The customers did not pay any premium on the paper produced on FSC certified wood. The implementation of the FSC standard was successful, due to the fact that all parties involved accepted the negotiated FSC-terms. Also the customers requiring FSC based paper products were pleased with the outcome.

Measure of Success: We measure the success of our engagement strategy as % share of customer satisfaction with the FSC or PEFC standard (target = 100 %). Following the adaptation of the FSC / PEFC standard in our sourcing of wood, 100% of suppliers were satisfied in 2021.

C12.2

(C12.2) Do your suppliers have to meet climate-related requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Yes, climate-related requirements are included in our supplier contracts

C12.2a

(C12.2a) Provide details of the climate-related requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process and the compliance mechanisms in place.

Climate-related requirement

Other, please specify (FSC certified wood for sustainable management)

Description of this climate related requirement

In Europe, Norske Skog uses 100% certified wood, either the PEFC or the FSC standards of certification. The customers require finished products based on certified wood. Both the FSC and the PEFC standards have been reviewed during the last two years. The revision of the PEFC standard will be completed in 2022; whereas, the FSC standard was completed in 2021. Norske Skog follow up wood suppliers to see that the standards are followed.

% suppliers by procurement spend that have to comply with this climate-related requirement

100

% suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this climate-related requirement

100

Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this climate-related requirement

Certification

Response to supplier non-compliance with this climate-related requirement

Exclude

C-AC12.2/C-FB12.2/C-PF12.2

(C-AC12.2/C-FB12.2/C-PF12.2) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?

No

C-AC12.2c/C-FB12.2c/C-PF12.2c

(C-AC12.2c/C-FB12.2c/C-PF12.2c) Why do you not encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural/forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?

		Primary reason	Please explain
F	Row	Other, please specify (Norske Skog purchases wood fibre that is FSC	This stringent means of certification set strict procedures for forest management. Any breach of following these certification methods
1	1	and/or PEFC certified.)	will result in delivery from that specific supplier.

C12.3

(C12.3) Does your organization engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate?

Row 1

Direct or indirect engagement that could influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate

Yes, we engage directly with policy makers

Yes, we engage indirectly through trade associations

Does your organization have a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement?

Attach commitment or position statement(s)

As an active member of the The Federation of Norwegian Industries (NI) Norske Skog is committed to, and share, the same net zero vision by 2050 as the federation. See attached road map from NI referencing this commitment (in English), including one road map for the Norwegian forest industry(in Norwegian).

the-norwegian-process-industries-roadmap-summary.pdf

veikart-for-treforedlingsindustrien-web.pdf

Describe the process(es) your organization has in place to ensure that your engagement activities are consistent with your overall climate change strategy

Norske Skog has membership in national trade and industry organisation in all countries we operate. In addition, we are member of the Confederation of European pulp
and paper association (CEPI). On specific issues, Norske Skog engages directly with government institutions and individual politicians. Also, Norske Skog has close
collaboration with numerous NGO, also environmental groups.

Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate <Not Applicable>

Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate <Not Applicable>

C12.3a

(C12.3a) On what policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Focus of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the climate

Emissions trading schemes

Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

EU emission trading system: In order to avoid carbon leakage, Norske Skog involves with politicians to promote the usefulness and importance of the EU-ETS schemes is to the energy intensive industry in Europe.

Policy, law, or regulation geographic coverage

Regional

Country/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Austria

France

Norway

Western Europe

Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Support with minor exceptions

Description of engagement with policy makers

Most contact with politicians are handled by the national and pan-European trade and industry organisations.

Details of exceptions (if applicable) and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law or regulation

Norske Skog does not concur to the changes in the EU-ETS schemes. The CBAM will substitute the EU-ETS scheme in 2030. This will severly affect the competitiveness of the European export industry in comparison to non-European industry.

Have you evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with the goals of the Paris Agreement?

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

C12.3b

(C12.3b) Provide details of the trade associations your organization engages with which are likely to take a position on any policy, law or regulation that may impact the climate.

Trade association

Other, please specify (CEPI - confederation of European paper industruies)

Is your organization's position on climate change consistent with theirs?

Consistent

Has your organization influenced, or is your organization attempting to influence their position?

We publicly promote their current position

State the trade association's position on climate change, explain where your organization's position differs, and how you are attempting to influence their position (if applicable)

CEPI is the European association representing the paper industry. CEPI offer a wide range of renewable and recyclable wood-based fibre solutions to EU citizens: from packaging to textile, hygiene and tissue products, printing and graphic papers as CEPI as speciality papers, but also bio-chemicals for food and pharmaceuticals, bio-composites and bioenergy. CEPI are a responsible industry: 86% of our raw materials are sourced from within the European Union and 78% of the wood comes from certified forests, 92% of the water CEPI use is returned in good condition to the environment. CEPI are the world champion in recycling at the rate of 73.9%. At the forefront of the decarbonisation and industrial transformation of our economy, CEPI embrace digitalisation and bring 18.5 billion value addition to the European economy and €4.5 billion investments annually. Through its 18 national associations, Cepi gathers 495 companies operating 895 mills across Europe and directly employing more than 180.000 people.

Funding figure your organization provided to this trade association in the reporting year, if applicable (currency as selected in C0.4) (optional) 600000

Describe the aim of your organization's funding

Norske Skog supports CEPI goal:

- To secure pulp and paper industries competitiveness towards EU policy makers
- To represent the paper industry with EU institutions and Brussels based stakeholders
- To improve the image and visibility of the paper industry and other related industries
- To be the example of how competitiveness and sustainability can go hand in hand

Have you evaluated whether your organization's engagement with this trade association is aligned with the goals of the Paris Agreement? Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

C12.4

(C12.4) Have you published information about your organization's response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

Publication

In mainstream reports, incorporating the TCFD recommendations

Status

Complete

Attach the document

Norske Skog_SDG_report_2021_pdf.pdf

Page/Section reference

Attached is the sustainability report for 2021 where the TCFD recommendations are incorporated.

Content elements

Strategy

Risks & opportunities

Emissions figures

Emission targets

Other metrics

Comment

About the sustainability report:

Norske Skog is committed to contribute to sustainable development and supports the ten principles in the UN Global Compact.

Norske Skog also supports the work to develop a global standard for reporting of sustainable development; therefore, we use the Global Reporting Initiative's (GRI) Standards for reporting relating to sustainability as a tool in our work to report environmental and corporate responsibility. Our reporting practice is, in our view, for all practical purposes in line with the GRI Standards reporting principles.

The report covers material sustainability topics to Norske Skog. For the environmental data, it covers the value chain of the group's activities. Sustainability data for 2021 includes the five Norske Skog paper mills at Boyer, Bruck, Golbey, Skogn and Saugbrugs operating at 31 December 2021, and data for the Tasman mill until the end of June 2021, when it ceased production.

Environmental data has been collected from the mills using established reporting routines. These include standard monthly reporting for the key environmental data as well as a standard collection of supplementary information on an annual basis. Data from this reporting is collected by the chief operating officer of the group in monthly reports to the corporate management and to the board quarterly. Similarly, people data, and health and safety data are collected from the mills with monthly reporting to the corporate management and quarterly to the board quarterly.

The sustainability report consists of two elements:

- 1 Materiality analysis and TCFD (task force on climate-related financial disclosures)
- 2 Sustainable Development Goals

C15.1

(C15.1) Is there board-level oversight and/or executive management-level responsibility for biodiversity-related issues within your organization?

Board-level oversight and/or executive management-level responsibility for biodiversity-related issues		Scope of board-level oversight
, ,	The Board of Directors are responsible for promoting and addressing biodiversity-related issues, including goals, strategic development and investment decisions. The Board of Directors jointly make formal resolutions regarding such matters. Biodiversity-related issues are addressed on an ongoing basis in addition to the annual risk assessment for the Norske Skog group.	<not Applicable></not

C15.2

(C15.2) Has your organization made a public commitment and/or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity?

		Indicate whether your organization made a public commitment or endorsed any initiatives related to biodiversity	Biodiversity-related public commitments	Initiatives endorsed
R	low 1	Yes, we have made public commitments and publicly endorsed initiatives related to biodiversity	Please select	SDG

C15.3

(C15.3) Does your organization assess the impact of its value chain on biodiversity?

	Does your organization assess the impact of its value chain on biodiversity?	Portfolio
Row 1	Yes, we assess impacts on biodiversity in our upstream value chain only	<not applicable=""></not>

C15.4

(C15.4) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Have you taken any actions in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments
Row 1	Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments	Land/water management

C15.5

 $({\tt C15.5})\ {\tt Does}\ your\ organization\ use\ biodiversity\ indicators\ to\ monitor\ performance\ across\ its\ activities?$

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
Row 1	No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years	Response indicators

C15.6

(C15.6) Have you published information about your organization's response to biodiversity-related issues for this reporting year in places other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).

Report type		Attach the document and indicate where in the document the relevant biodiversity information is located
	Other, please specify (verified Chain of Custody (CoC) certification systems for sourcing of fresh fiber)	Norske Skog_SDG_report_2021_pdf.pdf

C16. Signoff

C-FI

(C-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

C16.1

(C16.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP climate change response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Chief Operating Officer (COO)	Chief Operating Officer (COO)

SC. Supply chain module

SC0.0

(SC0.0) If you would like to do so, please provide a separate introduction to this module.

For the forest value chain to be a part of the climate change solution, the forests must be managed sustainably. Norske Skog promotes forest certification and chain of custody certification. We recognize our responsibility as a wood purchaser through our global wood purchasing policy, which states that all wood used in our paper originates from sustainably managed forests. Norske Skog has systems and processes to make sure that all wood used in Norske Skog's products comes from sustainably managed forests. All Norske Skog mills utilising fresh fibre have third-party verified Chain of Custody (CoC) certification systems in place. The average share of certified fresh fibre in 2021 was 89%, in line with last year. Our target is to achieve 100 % certification of all wood used for our products. We also aim to mature our sustainable sourcing practices on ESG-aspects and routines for audit of supplies.

SC0.1

(SC0.1) What is your company's annual revenue for the stated reporting period?

	Annual Revenue
Row 1	10315000

SC1.1

(SC1.1) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Requesting member

News Corp

Scope of emissions

Scope 1

Allocation level

Facility

Allocation level detail

News Copr purchase publication paper from two mills in Norway 1) Norske Skog Skogn and 2) Norske Skog Saugbrugs and one mill in Australia 3) Norske Skog Boyer. The allocated emissions have been calculated based on the Scope 1 emissions from these three mills during the retested reporting year (2021).

Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

28300

Uncertainty (±%)

5

Major sources of emissions

Emissions from production processes at the three mills our customer News Corp sourced publication paper from during 2021.

Verified

Nο

Allocation method

Allocation based on mass of products purchased

Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

146000

Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Metric tons

Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Norske Skog ASA follow the GHG protocol guidance on how to identify emission sources. Major emission sources for Scope 1 include stationary fuel used in production processes. No major limitations or assumptions made. Because each mill produce a limited amount of products GHG data can be provided for the specific product purchased. Product specific Paper Profile declarations are available on our webpage https://www.norskeskog.com/sustainability/environment/paper-profile. Allocation of emissions is as such not necessary.

SC1.2

(SC1.2) Where published information has been used in completing SC1.1, please provide a reference(s).

Scope 1 data per production facility has been published in question C7.3b of Norske Skog's CDP Climate Change Questionnaire 2022.

SC1.3

(SC1.3) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Allocation	Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges	
challenges		
	Norske Skog ASA can relatively easy allocate Scope 1 emissions to customers based on the mass of products sourced.	
challenges		
	Norke Skog is also calculating and publishing Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) to guide the paper buyer. Paper Profile was developed by European paper manufacturers who use a standard	
	format for product declarations and commonly agreed calculation rules. The declarations provide data on product composition and key environmental parameters. Product specific Paper Profile	
	declarations are available on our webpage https://www.norskeskog.com/sustainability/environment/paper-profile.	

SC1.4

(SC1.4) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

No

SC1.4b

(SC1.4b) Explain why you do not plan to develop capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers.

Norske Skog produce a limited amount of products and GHG data can therefore be provided for the specific product purchased. Product specific Paper Profile declarations are available on our webpage https://www.norskeskog.com/sustainability/environment/paper-profile. Allocation of emissions is as such not necessary, according to the "GHG Protocol Scope 3 Standard", chapter 8 on allocating emissions.

(SC2.1) Please propose any mutually beneficial climate-related projects you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

SC2.2

(SC2.2) Have requests or initiatives by CDP Supply Chain members prompted your organization to take organizational-level emissions reduction initiatives?

SC4.1

(SC4.1) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Yes, I will provide data

SC4.1a

(SC4.1a) Give the overall percentage of total emissions, for all Scopes, that are covered by these products.

100

SC4.2a

(SC4.2a) Complete the following table for the goods/services for which you want to provide data.

Name of good/ service

1) NorSC, 2) Nor Opaq and 3) Nor Opaq Plus.

Description of good/ service

Environmental product declaration for three paper products from Norske Skog Saugbrugs, Norway, 1) NorSC, 2) Nor Opaq and 3) Nor Opaq Plus.

Type of product

Fina

SKU (Stock Keeping Unit)

8400 tons purchased by News Corp in 2021.

Total emissions in kg CO2e per unit

3.75

±% change from previous figure supplied

Date of previous figure supplied

Explanation of change

Methods used to estimate lifecycle emissions

Other, please specify (Method: Paper Profile. This is a voluntary, internationally-harmonized environmental product declaration to guide the paper buyer. Developed by European paper manufacturers.)

Name of good/ service

"Nornews" and "Norbright"

Description of good/ service

Environmental product declaration for two products produced at Norske Skog Skogn, Norway, "Nornews" and "Norbright".

Type of product

Final

SKU (Stock Keeping Unit)

61000 tons purchased by News Corp in 2021.

Total emissions in kg CO2e per unit

12.2

$\pm\%$ change from previous figure supplied

Date of previous figure supplied

Explanation of change

Methods used to estimate lifecycle emissions

Other, please specify (Method: Paper Profile. This is a voluntary, internationally-harmonized environmental product declaration to guide the paper buyer. Developed by European paper manufacturers.)

SC4.2b

(SC4.2b) Complete the following table with data for lifecycle stages of your goods and/or services.

SC4.2c

(SC4.2c) Please detail emissions reduction initiatives completed or planned for this product.

Name of good/ service Initiative ID Description of initiative Completed or planned Emission reductions in ke	g CO2e per unit
--	-----------------

SC4.2d

(SC4.2d) Have any of the initiatives described in SC4.2c been driven by requesting CDP Supply Chain members?

Submit your response

In which language are you submitting your response? English

Please confirm how your response should be handled by CDP

	I understand that my response will be shared with all requesting stakeholders	Response permission
Please select your submission options	Yes	Public

Please confirm below

I have read and accept the applicable Terms